

1896-7
(Education)

File No 1d 96-7
SL no 1-6

Entertainment of and promotion to
teachers in village Fund Schools

G R S 58]

Previous references

1896 7 /
191-

File No 1 of 96-7

Serial Nos 1-6

Later references

(Education)

92

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

1 ————— 6

countermarked
M

Major Part B for September 97

SUBJECT

Entertainment of and Promotion to
Teachers in Village Fund Schools

10265

Ed 386

8389

404

4 5 97

From, The Secy of Education

Submits for the favourable consideration
of Govt lists of masters of the Village Fund Schools
recommended for promotion of new hands

2

1623

To, The Secy of Education - the Comptroller
Sanctions the above requests him to inform certain
points to Govt

$$3 \quad \frac{644}{1423}$$

$$\frac{547}{17}$$

17 7 97

From the I G of Education

Submits the opinion of the I G of Education
on the several points mentioned in the ^{SC 2} above

$$4 \quad \frac{890}{1433}$$

$$\frac{737}{20}$$

26 7 97

From the I G of Education

Resubmits the list of masters recommended for promotion

5

$$\frac{29189}{143}$$

28 8 97

To, the I G of Education

The Comptroller

Increases the pay of certain Head & Asst Masters & Probationary Teachers of Village Schools

$$6 \quad \frac{2048}{68}$$

$$\frac{1915}{52}$$

1 9 97

Requests to return the list's sent with his letter no $\frac{1737}{20}$ dated 26 7 97

Indexed -
Sreenivasa Rao

Letter No 8889 of 4 May 1897
from the Inspr Genl of Edu
Add to Secy

The Inspr Genl proposes involve
an addl charge of Rs 5516 over
and above the sanctioned Budget
of 1896-97

In the Village School Fund Budget
for 1896-97 an expenditure of ^{Rs 1342}
was sanctioned against an ^{estimated} income
of 233244. If the estimated income
of the next year 1897-98 is about
the same figure as that of the
current year, it can bear the
addl charge proposed, which
is reported to be very much
needed

LH
12/5/97

Filed to 12-7-97

The increases proposed
seem quite reasonable.
The total is Rs 9516 but
against this there is already
a provision of Rs 4000
which is about to lapse.
The net increase is then Rs
5516 only. The proposal
to make this provision in
the Budget for 1897/98 may
be sanctioned.

I have very carefully
read these proposals -

The additional Teachers
required are

28 Probationary Teachers
30 Asst Masters
1 Hindustani Master
2 Suruj Master -

These may be sanctioned
at once, the pay of the Prob Teachers
being fixed at Rs 5 each & of Asst Masters
at Rs 7 each - and of the
Suruj Master at Rs 5/-
In village School where the
houses are compact and
the girls are not required to go
great distance Conduktor's
room may pay -

With regard to Mess
'servants' & sweepers - the
rate of pay proposed seems
high - in some cases it is
proposed to pay more the
salary of a village teacher
and in some cases as
much as is allowed for
latter - We might ask
what are the ante
ments now in force in village
schools in which there are no
mess or servants or sweepers
I am afraid that

28 Prob Teachers		
6	@ 5/ea	140
30 Asst Masters	@ 7/ea	210
1 Hindustani Master		
2 Suruj Master	@ 5/ea	10
		360,
		or Rs 44 0 4 per
		annum

if these menials are allowed
here & then, all the whole
schools would aff^d the
same and the expense
of a whole school which
is in charge of a teacher
in N.Y. will be doubled
1 man - entirely enormous
cost - It would be an
advantage to enlist the
cooperation of the whole
servants and the whole
school families and to
continue the employment
ments as far as possible

As the cost of the
road teacher exceeds
the sum of \$4000 per month
in the budget of \$404
the 30 and teachers
may all commence
about 7 per month

In List No. 1 withholds
has included the names of
27 Party teachers who have
passed the road survey
Even for 18 of given the pay
of 2 and teachers - Instead
of appointing new men about

for the 30 appointments and by
referring to above it seems
possible to provide
the men in last R.

with three seven shapen
and 4 offhand new men in their stead and 45 from
lures - This may be
reported - We would then
and the new ^{granting add sum} 1. money
to pay of the men in lot 27

with regard to the
Teachers in Dist N^o 2 who
have not passed the
Lower Secondary Exam, &
-- not explained whether
they are capable of passing
the Exam. - If they are they
may be reg^d to do so
before being promoted as
Asst. M^{rs}. - ^{In the case of} ~~For~~ those who
are unable to pass the Exam
special consideration may
be shown by promoting them
to the grade of Asst. Master
-- that of the h^{igh} school
in a room - early 10 yrs
to have given satisfaction

With regard to what
No. 4⁺ asks for information
as to the length & number of
each and what remains
if any each has passed,
see above.

We may also expect that
through our studies & going,
if they have given notice/advance
in the figures - then studies, be
from that in vacuum occur
in the school of higher studies -
teacher may expect that when
may be chosen to handle
English & then working many in

16-5-97

0 472, 12 475 first
 to number in each class
 then generally to form -
 men - of the number ^{appearing}
 in the table (10 475)
 first (presumably in)
 in Alphabet as vacuum
 can - Alphabet men can
 of me at 47 - the high
 firsts they seem to
 kept men - how and
 measure in making to
 knowledge of men and to
 secure first location -
 Make the plot of men can
 look to the matter of men
 of concern. Have me with you
 and



My dear Mr. ...

I think we have passed
all the above Reports - connected
with the following branches

1. ...
 2. ...
 3. ...
 4. ...
 5. ...
 6. ...
 7. ...
 8. ...
- 2 of 8 have signed -
- 7 was printed some time

the 30 appointments and 7th

also I do not know what
has become of Nos 4 & 5
are in the Grip I think
As in another 5 weeks
we will be coming to the
close of the current fiscal
year, I will be glad
if you can try to get
Nos. 4 5 6 7 & 8 issued by
the end of June. They will
all be circulated with
Sewar Howell & Spence
I think we have
piped almost all the

of local ? 'I'm' & some
 Robert. They may also
 be primitive before
 the last of June
 I'll be on the translation
 some entirely in regard to
 the translation being accepted the
 new fact about the
 in translation within entirely
 it about the translation
 ; a translation - I translation
 'entirely' & translation in and
 that about the translation
 after translation ? translation is.
 I do not translation translation
 version of the translation translation

for the 30 appointments each ⁴7

is correct

yes

RT

RT III In connection with the
Talent Branch & North School
I will thank you to look
at the existing scale & rates.
I think all the City leaders
who get \$5 a month, after
3 or 5 yrs satisfactory work, he
allowed an increase of \$2 while
they have hoped or not -
Those who have hoped the
lower secondary rate may be paid
but after a years approved service
we might have a graduated scale
of salaries ranging from 7 to 10,

600

Draft as per 1/4th order
is subd

Scales of pay as require
by the DO are subd

I very much regret
the delay

LL
24/6/97

Subd to be 1/2 with a draft -

Please see the a/c also
attached with my note
allow it to be accepted
the report & memo can
go, I shall try to draw
up a scheme - if

Draft paper 3076797

Number
all
30/6/97

12 ✓
Statement Shewing the number and Scale of pay^b of
School masters as given in Budget Estimates for 1897-98
Provincial Fund.

Designation	Number of masters	Rate of pay of master	Amount
<u>Anglo Vernacular Schools.</u>			
	1	55	
	2	40	
	2	35	
	11	30	
	20	25	
	1	24	
	1	23	
	31	20	
	1	17	53628
Head and post masters	39	15	
	1	13	
	27	12	
	1	11	
	67	10	
	1	9	
	28	8	
	119	7	
	1	6	
	15	5	
<u>Vernacular schools</u>			
Lady Superintendent	1	175	2100

Vernacular schools continued

	1	529	
	2	45	
	11	35	
	8	30	
	15	25	
	23	20	
	14	15	
	2	14	30720
Head masters & mistresses	15	12	
	48	10	
	3	8	
	51	7	
	1	6	
	5	5	
	3	25	
	5	20	
	1	16	
	8	15	
	4	13	
Assistant masters and	18	12	
Probationary teachers	7	11	33624
	44	10	
	2	9	
	22	8	
	158	7	
	1	6	
	77	5	
	3	4	
	1	3	

Village ¹⁴ School Fund

	1	30 -	
	2	25	
	5	20	
	8	15	
Anglo Vernacular School Masters	9	12	8196
---	7	10	
	8	8	
	13	7 -	
---	10	5	
		30	
-----	2	25	
-----	2	20	
	9	15	
Latuk Branch School Masters	18	12	18588
---	39	10	
	3	8	
	57	7	
	53	5 -	
	5	15	
	135	12	
Hoble School Masters	332	10	139836
	6	8	
	700	7	
	338	5	
	6		

Abstract

189

Provincial Funds

Village school Fund

Number	Scale of Pay	Number	Scale of Pay
1	175	2	30
1	55	4	25
1	50	7	20
2	45	22	15
2	40	162	12
3	35	378	10
19	30	17	8
38	25	770	7
1	24	401	5
1	23		
59	20	1763	
1	17		
1	16		
61	15		
2	14		
5	13		
60	12		
8	11		
159	10		
3	9		
53	8		
328	7		
3	6		
97	5		
3	4		
1	3		
913			

rd 9th 5/8/97

16

with regard to the manual servants they suffer the following alterations

- (1) Conductors do not seem to be re-pay and the sanctioning of them in a few places means inducing them to ask for them in village life servants wanted are regarded as a nuisance and if any family wish their children to be attended in particular they ought to make their own arrangements

- (2) As to the other manual servants there are several denigrations such as servants, from sweepers etc. Some of them as an emblem of administration have authority are unnecessary - they need not be paid as much as the salary revenue bears might be more

6/8/97 I have no objection

reminded the Secy about this when the last meeting of the Bd was held on 4/8/97

Memo showing the administrative expenditure from the Village school

Found as sanctioned by the 1st Council

	Rs
For employing 12 new manual servants	5-3
For increasing the pay from Rs 5 to 7 of 46 unpassed Pro Teachers	9-2
For increasing the pay from Rs 5 to 7 of 27 passed Pro Teachers	5-4
For increasing the pay of some head and assistant other masters and manual servants	18-7

Total cost per month 386
386x

Do Per annum 4632

Sd/- 6/8/97
The increase involves a large - but necessary - Council vote. The Revenue approval seems desirable - 11-8-97

17

are confined to one village - ~~to the village~~
 Furthermore it is the conscious self-
 possessing administrative authority
 which holds many a hard work
^{School master} ~~detachable~~ & ~~exchange~~ exchange, he
 from the people In this view
 beams are not necessary - & I would
 give two classes of servants to
 @ three & four Rupees each the
 designation would be "a servant
 or a Kavalgara" Increases to
 then servants within the above &
 may be sanctioned There is a pro-
 of this expenditure in creating co-
 siderably in a short time
 The other increases ^{precautionary} maybe saves
 I would draw a distinction between
 then servants & others in their ad-
 ministrative duties The village
 schools are a part of a ^{of a well} ~~establishment~~ ^{establishment}
 institution and the ~~establishment~~
 of Haveli Schools was a ~~very~~ mean
 to regulate them & give a proper
 direction to them The low pay
 was a necessity of the situation
 had reference to the funds - &
 had also but the chief element
 of consideration was that local
 talent & intelligence should be
 utilized to secure a cheap and

economical agency - liability
 transfer should be confined 85
 to occasions of bereavement 59
 or when it is asked for beyond
 the scope of remuneration to be 74
 obtained in Hobbes School. 32m
 Otherwise a useful and ~~well~~ ^{well}
 doing Schoolmaster should not
 be transferred unnecessarily - hear
 consistently with the requirements of 400
 the Dept, and its ~~re~~ resources should
 which mean the available funds 129
 increases might be sanctioned 9 July
 I agree to the increase now pro-
 posed to be sanctioned

6 8 97

with

SHU

Conductors not reqd I think If a beginning
 is made of employing them a bad example will be
 set and their numbers will increase to an un-
 manageable figure.

In the same way Poms are not necessary too
 generally speaking the sweeping and keeping should be
 done by the Village servants helped by the boys of the
 who then does do the work in Independent Schools.
 The schoolmaster with the help of their Potters &
 Impels can well manage their little work
 and to begin to sanction separate servants
 with ~~simple~~ ^{simple} servants in the present wholesome
 Villages and increase

broken future unduly. 3 or 4 ^{1/2} months to a village school teacher
or watchman is excessive. When absolutely necessary
few are sent out of the boundaries may be found in the village
servants who will do the work most cheaply.

From others or in cases of boys may be given to the schoolmaster
who understands. But those who have not yet passed the
class. that he make to pass here after and then himself
with that they could expect no further increase
of pay without satisfying themselves by passing the
exams. Without such order, little improvement
can be expected in the staff of village & school.

6-P-97

[Signature]

Send col 1
to 2 of 3900
rewards to the
100% at once

6/18/97

20

$\frac{16}{1}$

Adelphi ant-ops
4632 under V. leys
V. leys found

Circulated to
24 & 30 of
Greenwaring
5-8-97

re remarks
on the abstract
sheet under
6897

10
12

Extract from

The Journal of the Council Proceedings

Bangalore, Monday the 9th August 97

85

59

14

32

Resolved as follows. -

x x x x

hear

3 That a supplemental grant of Rs 3858^{net 400}
be sanctioned from the Village School Fund on ^{as hand} ^{rag}
account of increases to the pay of deserving ^{20 July} ⁹ ⁵ ² ¹ ¹
bathory teachers and Head and Assistant
Masters and that as regards the proposal
to entertain new memal establishment for
certain schools and to raise the pay of the ^{cher} ^{that} ^{is} ^{but} ^{is} ^{the}
existing memal establishment in certain
others the I G of Education be informed
it it is desirable to have a definite ^{stand} ^{the} ^{is} ^{the}
regulate the employment and remuneration ^{of} ^{such} ^{establishment} ^{with} ^{due} ^{regard} ^{to} ^{the} ^{three}
such establishment with due regard

the population of the places concerned, the
strength of the schools thereat and the distances
between the schools and the houses of the
pupils. The adoption of a uniform nomen-
clature is likewise desirable

Copy to the Education branch

YH 19/8/97

YH: Draft at once YH


Subd to Sect with an
Office Note 42
14/5/97

To: 101

From

The Inspector General of

Education in Mysore



To

The Secretary to the Govt of
Mysore in the Prov. Secy. 10265

No 8889

Dated 404 4 May 1897

Received

Enclosure No 4

Subject

Submitting for the
favourable consideration
of Govt-lets of masters
of Village Fund schools
recommended for
promotion and of new
hands

92
11.5.97

~~I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,~~

~~*Insp Gen of Education.*~~

5

Edn 56] SECTION Education
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE,
No 8889 17-1
4047 R A I No Bangalore Mysore, 4th May 1897

From

H J BHABHA Esq

Inspector General of Education in Mysore,

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
in the General and Revenue Deptts,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to the concluding part of para 3 of Government Order No 246-7 dated the 6th July 1896 sanctioning the Village School Fund Budget for the current year, I have the honour to submit for the favourable consideration of Government the following lists of masters of Village Fund Schools recommended for promotion of new hands

- (1) a list of Probationary Teachers who have passed the Lower Secondary Examination and have done their work satisfactorily
- (2) a list of Probationary Teachers who have not passed any Examination but have given satisfaction by their work for not less than three years
- (3) a list of other Teachers as well as Menial Servants deserving of promotion, and lastly
- (4) a list of additional hands required

for schools

2

2 The Probationary Teachers mentioned in the first two lists are at present getting Rs 5/- a month, and are reported to have worked satisfactorily. They are therefore recommended for promotion to the rank of Assistant Masters on Rs 7/- a month in accordance with para 3 of Government Order No ^{510 4th 6 14 1145 100} 6386-7 dated ^{2d 23-76} the 3rd December 1896. There are in the two lists 73 teachers for whom an increase of Rs 2 each is recommended. The increases will amount to Rs 146 a month or Rs 1752.

2

3 As regards the Masters in the third list I beg to state, that I have carefully gone into their claims for promotion, and can say that the list includes the most deserving cases. They have all worked with commendable zeal and industry for a number of years. Some of them have undergone training in the Normal School or passed one or other of the departmental examinations in hopes of advancement. The claims of some of these Masters have been repeatedly urged upon my attention by the Deputy Inspectors both for the excellent work they have done and the examinations they have passed and are worthy of the best consideration of Government. The increase

amounts to Rs 194³ a month or Rs 2328,
as follows —

2 Masters	from Rs 15 - to Rs 20 -	10	Rs
2 Masters	from Rs 12 to Rs 15 -	6	
1 Master	from Rs 10 to Rs 15 -	5	
13 Masters	from Rs 10 to Rs 12 -	26	
49 Masters	from Rs 7 to Rs 10	147	
			194
67 Masters			
3 Servants			
			72

The list includes 5 Inemal Servants for whom small increases amounting Rs 9 a month or 108 a year, are recommended.

4. The fourth list contains the names of 67 schools which require the services of additional teachers or of Inemal Servants. As in the case of recommendations for the promotion of teachers, have exercised the greatest possible care in the selection of schools requiring additional hands. There are a great many more schools to which the appointment of one or more teachers and servants is necessary, but this represents the most urgent cases. Some of the schools on the list are in respect of attendance and efficiency as good as Taluk Schools, but the number of teachers in them is insufficient. About 34 of the schools which are also fairly well attended have only a single teacher, with the

or four classes to maintain the progress which some of the village schools have made, requires for ^{supply of the} their further improvement the services of passed men. Under these circumstances, I beg to recommend the employment of additional teachers on the following scale

1 Teacher on Rs 12	—	Rs 12
3 Teachers on Rs 10	—	30
29 on Rs 7	—	203
28 Probationary Teachers on Rs 5	—	140
		<u>385</u>

Some of the Village Schools, as I have already observed, are as large and important as schools in Taluk stations. These have no Internal Servants. In the case of Girls' Schools a Conductress is a desideratum. Thirteen Servants are required to meet the wants of these schools, and the cost amounts to Rs 59 a month.

The Increase on account of additional Teachers and Internal Servants thus amounts to Rs 444.

5 To sum up the above proposals -

(I) Increases.

(1) Increases for 73 Probationary Teachers at Rs 2 each	—	Rs 146
(2) Increases for other Teachers in Anglo-Vernacular, Taluk Branch and Hobli Schools	—	194
(3) Increases for Internal Servants	—	9
		<u>349</u>

5
(II) New Hands.

(4) 61 Additional Teachers for
Taluk Branch and Hobli
Schools — Rs 385

(5) 13 Servants for — 50 — — — 59

Rs 444

Total of (I) and (II) 793 a year
or Rs 9516 a year

The amount of Rs 9516 may appear very large. But as the sum of Rs 400 for the employment of additional hands and for Increases Sanctioned in para 4 of Order No 246-7-Ed 17 dated 6th July 1896 sanctioning the current years Village School Fund Budget, remain unutilised, the additional grant to be sanctioned from 1st July 1897 will be Rs 5516. I beg to submit, with every regard for economy, it not possible to meet the present requirements of the schools and satisfy the just claims of the hardworking teachers mentioned in the lists here with submitted with a smaller amount. I would add that the new hands and increases proposed

are the accumulated requirements of
two years 1895-96, and 1896-97.

I beg that Government may be
pleased to sanction the above pro-
posals and the inclusion of the ad-
ditional provision of Rs 5516 in the
Budget for 1897-98

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H V Bhabha
Inspector General.

bv

No. 1

List of Probationary Teachers who have not passed the Lower Secondary Examination but have given satisfaction by their work

Taluk	Village	School	Name of Probationary Teacher	Length of service in years
14		3	11	
1	Bangalore	Anglo Vernacular Schools	Achappa	7
2	Anchal			
3	Devanahalli		Munamma	7
4	Ashoknagar		Narayandurga	17
5	Shimoga		Venkata Narasimha	7
6	Chellakere		Gundachar Leppaia	10 6
			<u>Wolcott Schools</u>	

7	Kankamballi	Chakmudavadi	New Boys	Virabhadra	7
8	Bobballapur	Hanale	Struckton	Mahamandir Struckton	7
9	Melavar	Godhullamballi	New Boys	Shashappa	7
10	Baaphalli	Yelladu	do -	Sehanna	8
11	Goribekur	Kadavallu	do -	Ramappa	10
12	"	Bodanakaappur	do -	Kanthavachar	12
13	"	Hosur	do -	Lakshminappa	5
14	"	Guruvallu	do -	Gurubattu	8
15	Chentaman	Alamgar	do -	Tammalavayya	7
16	Mullagol	Devanagadamudra	do -	Parkatona battu	4
17	Turnkur	Hennulike	New Boys	Shrinappa	10
18		Medigere	do -	Changundarya	9
19	Madayaru	Medigera	do -	Subbanna	8
20	Pavagada	Mangalavada	do -	Lakshmi - madao	5
21	Shimgal	Shulbali	do -	Shannappa	8
22	Aras	Agrochara	do -	Subbasetti	7
23	Shimgal	Shilpavada	do -	Cheluvaya	3

OVERSIGHT & TAX Statement no 1

Village School Fund

~~(2)~~

List of Probationary Teachers
who have not passed any
Examination but have
given satisfaction by their
work for not less than
three years

2. $62 = \frac{822}{4.4}$ 1/2 1/2

Taluk	Village	School	Name of Probationary Teacher	Length of service in years
24 Gadatone	Hampapur	Kan Boys	Gunolappa -	5
25 Mulavalli	Hosahalli	do -	Nannappa	4
26 Chamarayanagar	Ramassamudra	do -	Nayyundaya	8
27 do -	do -	do -	Dasaappa	7
28 do -	Harave	do -	Mahalingappa	8
29 Heggal-devankote	Kuttur	do -	Anantaramaya	7
30 "	Chukkernur	do -	Kappampataya	6
31 "	Hebbalaguppe	do -	Krishnalinggar	7
32 Shumoga	Arabileru	do -	Suddappa -	5
33 Channagiri	Santebennur	do -	Mahalingaya	7
34 Honnali	Joga	do -	Neelakanthaya	5
35 "	Rampura	do -	Gurusahayaya	9
36 Shikaripuri	Suru	do -	Simmappa J	13

7
Encls
WLS 1 Statement No 2
Village School Fund

~~(1)~~

List of Probationary Teachers
who have passed the Lower
Secondary Examination and
have done their work satisfactorily

Encl — 114517

17

11

7

SECTION	<u>Police</u>
FILE NO	<u>17-51-7</u> Letter no <u>8889</u> dated <u>4-4-44</u>
SIR M	<u>May</u> <u>17-51-7</u> from the Insp ^r Ellofom - Malappuram

Order thereon No 162-63
Ed 8
 Date 5-7-97²

Spec 4
1-7-97

With the above letter the Insp^r El submits for sanction the following lists of increases of and new hands for the village schools -

(1) A list of Probationary Teachers who have passed the L S Examinations and who have done their work well

(2) A list of Probationary Teachers who have not passed any Examinations but have put in 3 years approved Service

(3) A list of other Masters as well as menial servants deserving of promotion and

(4) A list of additional hands required for schools

2 The Insp^r f^l recommends 12 ✓
 that the pay of the Probationary
 Teachers ^{noted} contained in lists nos 1 & 2
 may be raised from Rs 5/- to 7/- per mensem,
 and the ^{not} promotions of other masters
 and Menial Servants mentioned
 in list 3 and the additional hands
 mentioned in list 4 may likewise
 be sanctioned. The total
 annual increase will be Rs 95/6
 against a provision of Rs 4000 made
 for the purpose in the current years
 Village School Fund Budget.

3 The Govt after very careful
 consideration of the above proposals
 are pleased to sanction the
 marginally noted additional
 hands to be employed in the
 Village schools. ~~Higher rates of pay~~
~~recommended for new Asst. masters~~
~~cannot be sanctioned for want of~~
~~provision in the Budget.~~ With
 regard to the employment of additional
 Conductresses Peons or Sweepers &c,

	at Rs 7/- p.m.	
28 Probationary Teachers at	Rs 5/- each	14
2 Suringe masters at	Rs 5/- each	10
	Total	36

or Rs 4404 per Annum

17

13 } ~~It is observed that~~
the services of conductresses do not
seem ^{absolutely} necessary in the case of Village
Schools where the houses are compact
and the girls are not required to go
a ~~dist~~ great distance. The rates
pay recommended to the peons are
very high. ^{being} in some cases ~~as~~ more
than the salary of a Probationary
Teacher. Govt would be glad to be
^{informed of} the arrangements now in force in
Village Schools in which there are
servants ~~and~~ or sweepers and ^{whether} ~~work~~
^{consider that} instead of providing
sweepers that ~~it would be an~~
the ~~need~~ may not be caused the ~~don~~
advantage ~~to~~ ^{by} the Co-operation
of the Village Servants and the Village
School Committees and ^{thereby} ~~to~~ continue
the existing arrangements as far
possible.

2. manual Ex for
such Schools

14 With regard to the
increase of pay recommended to the
27 Pro Teachers who have passed
the L S Examination (Vide list no. 1)
Govt ^{would} suggest that instead of
appointing new men on Rs 7/-

for the 30 ~~new~~ ^{new} ~~masters~~ ^{masters} ~~places~~ ^{pos 4/4}
 on Rs 7/- each sanctioned in ^{the} above
 para, it seems desirable to provide
 the Pro Teachers in list no 1 ^{to be promoted to}
 those ^{pos 4} ~~7~~ ^{places} and to ~~appoint~~
 new men ^{enter trained} in their place on Rs 5/- ~~each~~
 per month. It is further suggested
 that in future the teachers on Rs
 5 or 7 may, if they have given
 satisfaction in the discharge of
 their duties, be promoted, as
 vacancies occur in schools of
 higher grades, so that the
 teachers of the village schools may
 have chances of promotion without necessitating an
 increase ^{burden on} ~~the expenses~~ of the Village
 School Fund.

+

In that case
 27 new men
 will have to be
 appointed in
 their places -

yes -
 correct
 all

5 With regard to the Pro
 Teachers in list no 2 who have
 not passed any examination it
 is not explained whether they
 are capable of passing the

It is also a question whether a rule may not be laid down under which pro teachers

Examination If they are they maybe required to do so before being promoted to the Asstt Masters' grade In the case of those ~~who are~~ unable to pass the Examination Special

Consideration may be shown ^{not} by promoting them to the grade

of Asstt Masters on Rs 7/- ^{after} of 3 or 5 years ^{if they have put in 10 years} approved service ^{of 5 years a max}

4. Those who have passed the Lower Secondary Exⁿ may be given Rs 7 after one year app^t to the ^{early report} of the Exⁿ Exⁿ opinion on the several points ~~mentioned~~ mentioned in paras 3, 4, 5 & 6 are requested

6.9 With regard to the increase of pay to the other Asstt Masters and Menial Servants recommended in list no 3

In the interests of the efficiency of the Schools the Insp^r of S is requested to maintain from the Village School Fund the question state the length of service of for laying down a graduated ^{master} scale of salaries & of each the examination passed ^{if any} dividing the teachers by ^{year} and the Special ground into classes seems deserving of early consideration. In this point too, Govt would be glad to have the S G's ^{at an early date} views with ^{pay} the proposals.

It may be recommended

11

The lists nos 2 and 3 are herewith
returned for the insertion of the
additional information and for
resubmission

11 30/6/97
Secretary

sent on
22 5 97

To The Insp- of Police
in Mysore

Comptroller to the Govt of Mysore

11
30/6/97

17

SECTION Gen

FILE No 1497-189

OFFICE OF THE
DEWAN OF MYSORE

22 JUL 1897

2d 23

Education in Mysore

Ed 22/7/97
Submitted to Secy
Mysr

23/7/97

Dated 12-14-97
There is considerable
force in Mr. Bhabha's
views as expressed in their
letter

6658

24-7-97

Please see my marginal
notes within - Draft No
appl

24/7/97

Will for meeting

To

The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore to the
No 547 The Govt receipts
Dated 17/7/97
Received

Enclosure

Form

Subject

Substantiating the
opinion of the Inspr
General on the seven
points mentioned in
para 3 4 5 & 6 of

Govt order No
162-3 of the 5th ju
898
1897

~~I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,~~

~~*Insp Gen of Education*~~

17 8
Edn 56] GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE,

No 547
5

Bangalore Mysore, 17th July 1897

From

H J BHABHA Esq

Inspector General of Education in Mysore,

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
in the General and Revenue Department,
Bangalore

Sir,

. & d F 44-96

7 With reference to para 7 of Order No 162 :
dated 5th July 1897, I have the honour to sub-
mit as requested the following opinion on the
several points mentioned in paras 3 4 5 & 6
of the above Order

2 With reference to the remarks con-
tained in para 3, I have the honour to inform
you that there are in all 1355 schools main-
tained from the Village School Fund, but
as will be seen from pages 19 to 22 of the
printed list of Musal servants employed
on the 1st July 1896 enclosed herewith there
were only 39 musal servants in 38 Taluk
Branch and Hobli Schools maintained from
the Village School Fund altogether Musal
servants are not as a rule employed :

Village schools The village servants and often the boys themselves perform the work of peons and sweepers But as all schools outside Taluk Stations are maintained from the Village School Fund, the schools in many towns of considerable size and population which can hardly be called villages are paid for from that Fund Instances of such towns are to be found in every district, as for instance, Sargapur, Kandavara, Lakkur, Amrutur, Bellare, Saligrama, Gangam, Maddur, Sargur, Grama, Sravanabelagola, Nyamte, Nagar, Siralkoppa, Santebenur, Benkipur, Ajampur, Nonavankere, Korumur, Bharmasagara &c &c Many of these towns are almost as important as Taluk Stations, and it is only when the size of the town as well as the attendance of the school renders the employment of menial servants necessary that any are employed In girls' schools especially even when they are situated in comparatively small towns the services of conductresses are necessary Government are pleased to remark that "the rates of pay recommended to the peons are very high, being in some cases more than the salary of a Probationary Teacher."

This may be approved, in this information as I proposed strength of school should always be given in support of the office.

One there conductresses in all the girls' schools now there many small schools have no ?

There are 26 schools of which 13 have been a Government school.

It will be seen from reference to Statement No 4 of additional hands required that it is proposed to pay Rs 6 to only two peons, namely those of Appampur and Konamur. A peon for the Appampur school however has been sanctioned since the date of my letter No 8889 dated 14th May 1897 by Order

put off $\frac{2345-6}{380}$ of 14th May 1897 The reference to him may therefore be removed from the list

but do these
of?

3, 4 and 5 respectively

minimum fees
must not be
and as a special
arr - 28

The population of Konamur is 2130, the attendance of the school is over 120, and there are four teachers employed. One of the teachers is obliged, now in the absence of a peon, to take the fee receipts every month to the Taluk Treasury at Arkalgud. Owing to the proximity of coffee estates labour is dear. A peon on 5 Rs is not likely to remain long in service at Konamur

3 With reference to para 14 of the Order, I have the honour to point out that in my letter No $\frac{3823}{236}$ dated 18 November 1896 I recommended the alteration of the designation of Pupil Teacher to Probationary Teacher and the continuance of the practice which had been in existence until 1894-95 of promoting pupil teachers who had passed the Lower Secondary Examination

l no 10 of 1896 F 23-96

or had completed three years approved service to the Government were pleased in Order No ¹⁸⁹⁶ 6386-7 dated 3rd December 1896 to sanction the change of designation which was proposed if the principle was approved of to promote a Probationary Teacher on passing the Lower Secondary Examination or completing three years' approved service. On the receipt of that Order Circular C No 23 of 1896-97 dated 20th January 1897 was sent to all Deputy Inspectors and Assistant Deputy Inspectors, and at the same time a Kamada Circular No 24 dated 20th January 1897 was issued to the Headmasters of all Middle and Primary Schools. Copies of these Circulars are herewith enclosed. I leave it to the Government to judge whether it will be consistent or dignified for the Department to recede now from the principle of promoting Probationary Teachers so soon after it was reaffirmed. I request therefore that Government may be pleased to make no change in the practice that has been established for many years.

The above
outlined
the change
of design for
about as a
promotion
after 3 yrs
probation as
the 1st four
years criteria
on the subject
the period
of 3 yrs may
be sufficient.
B.1

If Government are pleased to make the suggestion in para 4 of the Order that instead of appointing new men on Rs 7 for the 30 new Assistant Masters' posts

2,

Transfers
may be
as of which
as not
from
income
to be
the teacher
but the school
may annually
try to
stand with
objection as
far as possible
2nd

Just of them
as an matter
may be transferred
If it is known
that the Head of
the department is in
favor of keeping
the Probationary
in the school
it will not
be possible to
out of the
even of the influence of the Government
then what is the result? 12. 14. 15. 16. 17.

on Rs 7 each sanctioned " in para 3, "the
Probationary Teachers in list No 1 be promoted
to those posts and new men entertained
in their place on Rs 5 per mensem " The
effect of carrying out this suggestion will be,
that the Probationary Teachers will, when
they are promoted, have to go a long dis-
tance and probably to a place where
living is far more costly and inconvenient,
to them than in their present place of
employment To promote them to Rs 7 and
at the same time to transfer them to a
distant school is to deprive them of the
increased facilities and comforts which
the promotion is intended to give them,
especially when it has been earned by
attaining a higher qualification for service
I would therefore strongly deprecate making
the promotion of Probationary Teachers
conditional on their transfer It is nearly
certain that most of these teachers will
prefer to remain on Rs 5 in their native
place or a place to which they have been
accustomed and where they have friends
and relatives, to going to a strange place
on 7 Rs As I understand the term
'probationary teacher' he is a teacher
temporarily employed on 5 Rs until he
proves his fitness by passing an examination
of the Government of the Province then he is
entitled to 7 Rs

or doing satisfactory work for a period of not less than three years. When he so proves his fitness he should be confirmed and receive Rs 7 in the place where he has been serving. To transfer him at the time of giving him promotion annuls all the advantages which the promotion may bring him.

5 Government are further pleased to suggest in Para 4 that in future the teachers on Rs 5 or 7 may if they have given satisfaction "be promoted as vacancies occur," so that the teachers of the village schools may have chances of promotion without necessitating an increased burden on the Village School Fund. With reference to this suggestion I submit that the cost of a school is bound to increase when it grows in attendance and progress. A school cannot be compared to an office establishment which only on comparatively rare occasions requires improvement or enlargement as its business grows. A school should be a living organism growing continuously. There are certain well defined limits however within which the growth is allowed. For instance Hobli schools as a rule are allowed to teach up to the III standard, while Taluk

It will be an encouragement to them to be promoted as vacancies occur.

There is an objection - when there is much an increased fund, then even if it is a costly thing to do, it is enough avoided, but no result at the same time of the promotion of the village teachers makes of that, then for each in the village school.

Branch and Taluk Schools teach up to the I Now, if a school which in 1897 has the I standard for its highest class and has 2 classes in all with two teachers on Rs 7 and 5 respectively, should in 1899 have the III its highest class and have five classes in all with the original attendance doubled necessarily, the staff will have to be increased and if the school has grown both in attendance and progress in two or three years time by the exertions of the two teachers originally appointed in it, they would naturally expect some recognition of their services in the shape of promotion, especially if they have also raised their own qualification by passing higher examinations in the meanwhile. This kind of growth goes on not in one or a few schools only but in scores of schools. If the Inspecting Officer and the teachers do their duty such progress and growth must be the result. But whether such growth is to continue or to take place at all will depend entirely on the encouragement given by Government. From a sense of duty alone some conscientious masters may work hard for some time under discouraging circumstances and on low pay, but it is futile to expect that most teachers will do the same, if

all the
as not
general
in the
of progress
- teachers
211

they find that their income does not increase with their years and their families, though they produce far better results than before and have shown great improvement in the progress and attendance of their schools. During the last few years schools have come into existence and grown into large and flourishing institutions where there were none, by the industry and zeal of hard-working teachers. If these teachers are told that they must wait for promotion till vacancies occur by retirement or death in the higher grades, the effect of such discouragement may be better imagined than described. If the teachers of flourishing schools are not encouraged they are sure to lose interest in their work and to perform it in a perfunctory manner. Of course when vacancies occur by death or retirement some masters are promoted in the usual course, but there are many more that deserve promotion for their excellent work, and I submit that the Head of the Department should be trusted to recommend only the most deserving. The village schools of the Mysore Department compared to other similar departments have been most economically managed. It is absolutely necessary to continue the old practice of providing for

25th

The State will always be glad to provide for these increasing needs as far as funds and a part of them are new hands and increases in every year's budget. That expenditure on education should increase every year goes without saying. The state and growth of the Education Department depends chiefly on the liberality, sympathy and resources of Government. If encouragement is withheld, Government should be prepared not only to have perfunctory and lifeless work from the teachers, but also to expect that the growth of the Department will receive a check.

6 With reference to para 5 of the Order I beg to inform you that if a teacher does not pass the Lower Secondary Examination in the first three years of his service, it must be taken for granted that he is incapable of passing from old age or family worries or illness. Every one that can pass, does pass. But even unpassed men do good service and if they have satisfactorily performed their work for three years on Rs. 5, I think they deserve to be confirmed and promoted to Rs. 7, which is after all merely a subsistence allowance. To extend the period of probation from three to five years would inflict unnecessary hardship without ensuring better qualifications. I therefore beg to resubmit herewith for orders List No 2 of Probationary Teachers who have worked satisfactorily for not less than three years. All of them except two have served from four to seven years.

And yet the Government has ordered that men in the last three years on Rs. 5 should pass the Lower Secondary Examination. I am not at all sure that the period of probation should be extended to five years. The men in the last three years on Rs. 5 are not at all sure that they will be able to pass the examination.

7 With reference to para 6 I beg to inform you that it is very rarely that a

26

Probationary Teacher that has passed the Lower Secondary Examination is inefficient. Before he passes, his work has been observed and if he is really inefficient he would not be promoted to 7 Rupees merely because he has passed. Rs 7 a month is after all not the kind of pay which a passed and efficient man should wait for another year to get. In practice a passed man will have to wait for a few months till the budget for the next year is passed.

More info
is not a
test of his
ability &
teach.

8 For information regarding a graduated scale of salaries, of teachers in Vernacular Schools, I refer you to my letter No 3789 of 18th November 1896. The scale laid down many years ago requires revision, but it can not be revised without a large increase of expenditure, and even if the scale is improved the necessity for promoting deserving teachers from time to time will continue to exist as I have already shown in para 4 of this letter.

Sl. No. 9 of Vol. F. 2.

is the best
method of
the matter
a revision of
the scale is
very difficult
to make
The comm-
of Govt - The
scale of sal-
ary has been
fixed at 50
as found to be
an adequate
amount.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H. P. Bhatia
Inspector General

27

SECTION 1 *San*

FILE No *1096-257* | *9*

From *1*

OFFICE OF THE
DEWAN OF MYSORE
890
2-10
233
The Inspector of Schools

Education in Mysore

Ed 29 7 97

Sub to Secty

*This relates to the increase
of pay of Teachers other than
Probationary Teachers*

3/8/97

uled 2074700

3-8-97

6769-6
The last time I was
able to inform you
these teachers have
been for several years
without promotion. The
increase proposed seems
reasonable and may be
recommended with effect from
1/8/97

Q.A.

3/8/97

To

*The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore in the
No 737 Prev's Genl Deptt
Dated 20-7-97 Bangalore*

Pec ned

Enclosure No 1

Subject

*Resubmitting the
lists of masters re-
commended for
promotion with
the necessary infor-
-tion and stating
that all the teachers
named therein are
efficient, zealous &
faithful.*

~~I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,~~

~~*Insp Gen of Education*~~

Ldn 56

No $\frac{737}{20}$

9
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE,

Bangalore Mysore, 26 July 1897

From

H J BHABHA I C

Inspector General of Education in Mysore,

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
in the General and Revenue Dept^s,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to para 9 of Government Order No $\frac{162-3}{228}$ dated the 3rd Instant I have the honour to resubmit the statement of teachers and servants recommended for promotion being No 3 of the statements which accompanied my letter No $\frac{8889}{456}$ dated the 4th May last, and to state that the information called for regarding the examinations passed by each teacher and the length of service of each is inserted in the statement. Since the preparation of the statement 6 masters have been promoted in the usual course. Their case may now be left out of consideration. Of the 64 masters remaining on the list, 30 have not passed any examination, but they ^{are} all reported to have worked with unremitting industry and zeal ever since they entered the service and have maintained the schools or classes in their charge in a state of efficiency. Their work has been so satisfactory that I would not consider the circumstance of their not having passed any examination a bar to their advancement.

Of the thirty-one others 26²⁸ have passed the
Lower Secondary Examination 3 the teachers
Examination, and 2 the Upper Secondary Exam-
ination. All these ^{10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25}masters also have discharged
their duties in an efficient manner. The list
includes the names of 14 Head Masters. They
are all very zealous and faithful in the
performance of their work and have raised
the standard and efficiency of the schools
under them. The salaries of many of them
as will be seen from the list vary from Rs 7
to Rs 12. I think these salaries too low
for men in the position of Head Masters
of fairly large schools with assistant
masters under them. I request that
under the circumstances stated above
Government may be pleased to sanction
the increases recommended.

I have the

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H. B. Shabha

Inspector General

66

29 (H) Local Tuna

Encls to

(14)
C

81 4

Statement of
Incomes and
New Hands
for
1895-96

Referred to in para
3 of Memo on the
Budget for 1895-96.
Circled

			Anglo
Nelamangala	Pyamapondlu		Devurappa Asst
	"		Ranga Bus
	"		Hayath
Yedatore	Saligrama		Gangadharan Sastri
			Sama Ios P
Kadur	Burni		Subbanna P
			Taluk
			B
Anikal	Saryapur	Kan Gules	Munramma
Chikballapur	Chikbelavangala	Kan Boys	Vasudevayya P
"	Arumallige	"	Siddappa P
			Kol
Kolar	Jode Chamarahalli	"	Venkata Ramay
Chikballapur	Kandavara		

Vernacular Schools

7	10	3
---	----	---

10	12	2
----	----	---

5-61

5	7	2
---	---	---

5	7	2
---	---	---

5	7	2
---	---	---

37	49	12
----	----	----



Branch Schools _____

galore brott

45	7	2
----	---	---

7	10	3
---	----	---

5	7	2
---	---	---

17	24	7
----	----	---

se bratt

7	10	3
---	----	---

Pupils Teacher 5

3

5



			Tumkur
Tumkur	Kyntasandra	Kan Girls	Lakshminarasana
Chiknarkannahalli	Gelenadu	Kan Boys	
Maddur	Liddapur	"	Surappa Pp
Tiptur	Nonavankere	"	Srinivasanjanpa
"	"	Kan Girls	
Kunigal	Arvatur	"	
Tumkur	Bellav	Kan Boys	Basu - Pem
			Mysore
Udatne	Murle	Kan Boys	Subramannappa
	Salipanna	Kan Girls	
Mandya	Maddur	Kan Boys	Channappa Pp
"	"	"	Pem
			Mysore
Hr Narsipur	Sosile	Kan Boys	
Heppadavankote	Sargur		Srinivasanjanpa
			Srinivasanjanpa
			Anantapur
			Hassan
Akalpur	Konanur	Kan Boys	Venkatachallappa Pp
			Kadur
Kadur	Sakrepatna	Kan Boys	
Chikmagalur	Hosahalli	Hr Girls	Mahammed N

District					
3	5	2	1 Asst Master	7 ✓	
5	7	2			
5	7	2			1 Servant 5
!					1 Fem Servant 4
-					1 Sv 4
3	5	2			
16	24	8 ✓		7	13
North					
5	7	2	1 Asst Master	7 ✓	
10	12	2			
4	5	1			
19	24	5 ✓		7 ✓	
South					
8	10	2	Pupil Teacher	5 ✓	
5	7	2			
5	7	2			
5	7	2			
5	7	2			
7	10	3	Pupil Teacher	5 ✓	
	3			5 ✓	
District					
10	12	2	Pupil Teacher	5 ✓	
	2			5	

			S No
Mumoya	Hole Honnur	Kan Boys	Sonmarayachem Pg
"	"	"	Gundacher Pg
"	"	Girls' sch	Tamra Pe
Ayanur.		Kan Boys	Channa basayn Pg
Harnahalli		"	Sidda Pe
Benkpur		Kan Girls	Hannma Son
"	"	Hndi Boys	
Honnale	Nyamte	Kan Girls	Honn - Son
"	"	Kan Boys	
Pethhall	Arga	Kan Boys	Nagappa Pg
Nazar	Nazar	"	Pleor (Hikka)
Shikampur	Siral Koppa	"	
-----	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----
Chitaldruz	Bharma Sazam	Kan Boys	Chitald
Challakere	Narkann hatter		Theppayn Pg
-----	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----
-----	Total	Taluk Branch Schools	

District					
7	10	3			
5	7	2			
4	5	1	Swing Masters	5	✓
5	7	2	Assistant	7	✓
4	5	1	Assistant	7	✓
3	4	1			
				Prom	5
3	4	1	Assistant	7	✓
5	7	2			
5	6	1	Assistant	7	✓
14			✓	33	✓
					5
29 Dist.			Assistant	7	✓
5	7	2			
2			✓	7	
50				74	
					18
					142 or
					Rs 1704
					Yen

	Increase	New Teacher	Others (Sum)	
Bangel	7	0	0	
Kolan	3	5	0	
Tunkur	8	7	13	
Chypore	5	7	0	
D	6	5	0	
Heasa	3	5	0	50
Kadi	2	5	0	74
Shingr	14	33	5	18
Cheladen	2	7	0	142
	50	74	18	12
				1704

Schools

above list

10	12	2
7	10	3
5	7	2
5	7	2
7	10	3
7	10	3
10	12	2
7	10	3
10	12	2
5	7	2
7	10	3
10	12	2
7	10	3
5	7	2
10	12	2

Paper Teacher 5 ✓

D. Sevakhar
Private School
to be taken over

36 ✓

Asst. Teacher

5

7 ✓

36 ✓

12 ✓

4

4

			Kolar
Bappalle	Pathapalya -	Kan Boys	Ramappa
- - - - -	Varlakonde	- - - - -	Venkatas
Chentamama	Ganpur -	bo - - -	Venkatasam
Gombaduru	Indisankamapalya	bo - - -	Nanpudasa
- - - - -	Ganga Sandra	bo - - -	Narasimhasa
- - - - -	Hosur -	bo - - -	Vasudeva Ram
- - - - -	Ramapura -	bo - - -	G. S. dappa
Kolar	Somambolu Apraham	bo - - -	Sambayn
Mulbagal	Meldur	- - - - -	Chengulam Huzar
Malur	Sevanpatna	bo - - -	Hannumant Ram
Bowringpet	Kama Sandra	bo - - -	Vasudeva Ram
Chikkaballapur	Mandakal -	- - - - -	- - - - -
Mulbagal	Anahalli	bo - - -	Narasimha Ram
Malur	Sevanpatna	- - - - -	Narappa - - -
Chentamama	Heptam - ?	Amthurst	Syda Moham
Gombaduru	Hosur	bo - - -	

1786 Sch. 1

10	12	2	-
7	10	3	
10	12	2	
12	15	3	---
10	12	2	
10	12	2	
7	10	3	
10	12	2	
10	12	2	
12	15	3	
7	10	3	

Pupil Teacher 5 ✓

7	10	3
7	10	3
5	7	2

Pupil Teacher 5 ✓

35

10 ✓

"

		Munk.	
Gumkur	Urduque	Kan Boys	Nanpundays
—bv	Honmudike	bo	Krishnaappa
Sora	Kalenahalli	bo	Subbasastri
—bv	Barapur	bo	—
Gmbs	Bettadahalli	bo	Samann
—bv	Gorupura	bo	Siddappa
—bv	Godfusa	bo	—
Maddapur	Kodagurahalli	bo	Rajalau
—bv	Holavannahalli	bo	—
Chiknarkannahalli	Kandakere	bo	—
—bv	Budihal	bo	—
Padaqanda	Channakesavapuram	bo	—
Kurugul	Yelapur	—	—

(Hoble Schools Continued)				
District				
5	7	2		
5	7	2		
5	7	2		
1			Pupil Teacher	5 ✓
5	7	2		
5	7	2		
5	7	2	Asst. Master	7 ✓
			Pupil Teacher	5 ✓
			Pupil Teacher	5 ✓
			Asst. Master	7 ✓
			Pupil Teacher	5 ✓
			Asst. Master	7 ✓
12 ✓			41 ✓	4

			Mysore
Nagamangala	Bellur	Kan Bop	Byranna II.
Krishnarappa	Sindhghatta	bo	K. Ramanna
bo	bo	bo	Nanjunda
Udator	Hampapura	bo	Narasimha
Mandya	Kadur	bo	P. Krishnappa
bo	Bellur	bo	M. Narappa
bo	Budda	bo	Venkataram
Hunsur	Kattimalavara	bo	R. Subbaray
bo	Ravandur	bo	Sri. Kantay
bo	Perrypatna	bo	
Mysore	Udura	bo	Jivanna Ra
Peringapatna	Nelamane	bo	

			Mysore
Malavalli	Rajbommanahalli	Kan Bop	Venkatacheta
Heppadurankota	Jakkalli	bo	Sahapittappa
bo	Beeramballi	bo	Venkatasubba
Tur. Narasimha	Kalapur	bo	Venkata Krishna
bo	Bannur	bo	
Channarayana	Rama Samudra	bo	Nanjunda
Nanjund	Tayur	bo	Raminchandra
bo	Nellikallura	bo	Mallanna

(Hoble Schools Conference)

North

12 15 3 1 Asst H. Master 7 ✓

7 10 3

5 7 2

4 10 3 1 Asst H. Master 7 ✓

5 7 2

5 7 2

5 7 2

5 7 2

10 12 2

5 7 2 Asst H. Master 7 ✓

5 7 2 Asst H. Master 10 ✓

23 ✓ 31 ✓

South

7 10 3

7 10 3

5 7 2

5 7 2

5 7 2 Pupil Teacher 5 ✓

5 7 2

5 7 2

5 7 2

5 7 2

5 7 2

16 ✓ 5 ✓

			At A. S.
Hole Narespur	Gubbi	Kan Holli	Venkataramay
Arkalpur	Basavapattana	bo	Krishna Ram
bo	Ramanattapur	bo	Subbanna Cha
bo	Rudrapattana	bo	Ramaswamay
bo	Jode Vaddanahalli	bo	Kesavayy
Hanjarabad	Birkere	bo	Venkataramay
Trisikere	Banavur	I B. Housh	

			1 Noble Schools (continued)		
re	brs	11			
			Pupils Teacher	5 ✓	
10	12	2	Pupils Teacher	5 ✓	
7	10	3	Pupils Teacher	5 ✓	
10	12	2			
7	10	3			
5	7	2			
				Plan	4
		12 ✓		15 ✓	4 ✓
District					

Shimoga	Mallaghatta	Kan Holi	Rama Ram P.B.
	Bekurpur	Hmd Guls	
Honnale	Hanagavade	Kan Baps	Halappa
Yerthehalli	Belave	bo	Narasimha Bhatta
Channapur	Nallur	bo	Mallayya P.B.
bo	Santebannur	bo	Grundur Ram B
bo	Suryondanahalli	bo	Karavusayya
Shirkampur	A'sengere	bo	Rangappa
Sorab	bo ddi Koppa	bo	Kottrappa
"	Bharange	bo	Krishna Ram
Yerthehalli	Sivaraopura	bo	Gurusaynehu

(Hable schools continued)

District					
5	7	2			
5	7	2			
7	10	3			
5	7	2	Pupil Teacher	5	✓
5	7	2	Asst Master	10	✓
5	7	2			
7	10	3			
5	7	2			
5	7	2			
5	7	2			
22 ✓				15 ✓	4

				Chital
Whistaldray	Rangavannanahalli	Kan Boys		Surappa H
-- "	Turavannur	bo		Bhaskarappa
"	Siddavannanahalli	bo		Mallappa
Challakur	Wadderkutti	bo		
Davanagur	Kakkarakoppa	bo		Krishnappa
	Halivana	bo		Halayya
	Devarubli Kere	bo		Hannumayya
Holalkere	Jana Kal	bo		Nannundayya
Molokalmuru	Rampur	bo		Venkappa
---	---			---
--				--
---				-----

---				Total Hobli Rs

(Hole Schools)

Dr. Kristine			
Suraj	10	12	2
Bhaskar	10	12	2
Mallik	10	12	2
Pupils Teacher 5 ✓			
Krishna	7	10	3
Holm	5	7	2
Hanna	5	7	2
Nancy	5	7	2
Venka	5	7	2
Pupils Teacher 5 ✓			

17 ✓ 17 ✓

Total 2076

173	146	8 = 327	from
2076	1752	96	3924 a 4

	Lucas	Alm	Servants	
Bag	36	12	0	
Kola	35	10	0	
Tumb	12	41	0	
Myron	23	31	0	
E	16	5	0	
Heass	12	15	4	
Shinger	22	15	4	
Kal	0	0	0	
Chile's	17	17	0	
	173	146	8 = 327	3

2076

Sum

Bangalore
Kolar
Tumkur
Mysore North
Mysore South
Hassan
Kadur
Shimoga
Chitaldrug

mary

49
38
20
32
22
15
4
36
19
235

12
15
48
38
10
20
5
48
24
220

"
"
13
"
"
4
"
9
26 = 481
\$5772
year
220
26
246
12
2952

32

10

SECTION in 10
 FILE No. 1

Letter no 547 dated 17th July
1897 from the Insp. of 807 in Mysore
 Submitting with reference to Govt
 Pro No 162-3 dated 5th July 1897 his
248
 explanation in regard to increase
 the pay of certain Pro teachers
 and employing new menial
 servants for Village schools

6934

Sd/- B. M. M. M.
20-8-97

20-8-97

20-8-97

20-8-97

20-8-97

Sd/- M. B.
& then print 20/8/97

(20) Letter no 737 dated 26
20
 July 1897 from the same officer
 Submitting with reference to the above
 reading additional information
 required for increasing the pay
 of certain Head and Assistant
 Masters and menial servants
 of Village schools

Order thereon No 2918-19
EdF 44-9
 Date 28.8.97
28.8.97

In file

32

In paras 3 and 6 of the first
of the above letters the Insp^r & C
recommends that Pro teachers o
Rs 5/- who have not passed any
Examination may be conferred
as Asst^t masters on Rs 7/- after
approved service of 3 years and
states that he has already issued
circulars to that effect on the
Authority of Gov^t Order no. 6386-7
2d F 23-96

On 3 12 96 It is observed that the
order quoted simply sanctioned the
change of designation from Pupil
teacher to Pro teacher and was
silent as to promotion after 3 yrs
service. However as the Insp^r & C
has already issued circulars
on the subject, Gov^t are now pleased
to approve of the recommendation
to grant promotions after 3 yrs
service, ^{but} such increases ~~never~~
should not, when they
additional expenditure, be granted
without obtaining the previous

11 in File No 23 of 1896

³³
Sanction of Govt. The increase of
pay from Rs 5 to 7 to the 46 Proj
teachers of village schools named
in the list ~~received with the letter~~
and appended to these proceedings,
who have not passed any examination,
but have put in from 4 to 17 yrs
Service is sanctioned

2 With regard to the —
increase of pay from Rs 5 to 7
proposed to be given to the 27 Proj
teachers who have passed the
Lower Secondary Examination
the Insp. S.C. states that most of the
these teachers will prefer to remain
in their native places to going
to a strange place only. The
Govt. are unable to accept this
view. Public Servants are expected
to go wherever ordered and these
teachers must be made to understand
that they will on promotion
otherwise be transferred to other
schools according to the requirements

of the service In sanctioning 284
therefore the increase of ^{their} pay of ~~these~~
~~27 Pro teachers~~ from Rs 5 to 7 as
her list appended the Govt desire
that the Insp^r & Co would give effect
as far as possible to the suggestion
made in para 4 of Govt^r Pro no ¹⁶²⁻³₆₂₈
D5-7 97 by making transfers occasional

3 The Insp^r & Co remarks
in para 5 of the same letter regarding
the growth of schools and the necessity
for encouragement in the shape of
increase of pay being given by Govt^r
to deserving teachers from time to
time are not quite pertinent to the
consideration of the suggestion
made by Govt^r that teachers who
have given satisfaction may be
promoted as vacancies occur in
schools of higher grade Govt^r are
aware that when there is increase
growth there must be increase
of expenditure which cannot

be avoided and they will
always be glad to provide for
such increases as far as funds
will permit to but the same
time there is no reason why
"Chances should not be afforded
to village school masters of
promotion to vacancies occurring
in other schools instead of keeping
them permanently in village
schools

If Govt^{ts} concur with
the Insp^r & Co. remarks in favor
of the letter and are of opinion
that as the mere passing of an
examination is not a test of
ability to teach a Pr^of teacher
on Rs 5/- should not be promoted
to Rs 7/- per month unless he
has rendered one year approved
service either before or after

passing the Lower Secondary Exⁿ ^{25p}

of the &
therefo

5 The increase of pay
recommended to certain Head and
per his Assistant Masters of Village schools
that & in the second of the letters read above
as far which involves an additional
expenditure of Rs 178/- per mensem
made ^{with effect from 1st July 1897}
is also sanctioned as per statements
D15-7 appended

in p 6 With regard to proposals
the g to entertain new menial. Establish-
for ment in cert... Village schools at
inc a monthly cost of Rs 53/- And to
to de raise the pay of the menial Establish-
tem ment in certain others at a monthly
con cost of Rs 9/-, the Insp^r Gl. is
ma informed that it is desirable
ha to have a definite standard
p to regulate the employment
se and remuneration of such
a
reestablishment with due

regard to the population of the places concerned the strength of the schools the extent of the distances between the schools and the houses of the pupils the adoption of a uniform nomenclature is likewise desirable

7 It is stated by the Eng. & C. in para 8 of his first letter it seems necessary to revise the graduated scale of salaries of teachers which was fixed many years ago, and he is therefore, requested to submit definite proposals for the consideration of Government

8 The total additional expenditure sanctioned in paras 1, 2 and 5 of these Proceedings amount to Rs

Rs 324 per mensem or Rs 3888³⁴ per
Annum as detailed in statements
No 1, 2 and 3 appended to these Pro
for which Govt are pleased to
Sanction a Supplemental grant
of Rs 3888 under Village School
Fund Budget for 1897-98

Q
20/9/97
Secretary

To
The Insp: of Rs-munimpor
Comptroller to the Govt
of Bellary

10

1897 98

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

EDUCATION

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 28th August 1897

No 2018 19
Ed F 44 96

Increasing the pay of certain Head
and Assistant Masters and Probationary
Teachers of Village Schools

MGC PB—24-9 97—20

39

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore

READ —

Letter N 547—15 d d 1 th July 1897 from the Inspector General of Education Mysore
 with reference to Government Proceedings N 162—Ed 8 dated 1 th July 1897
 at once regarding the reasons for the delay in the promotion of teachers and employing new ones
 for village schools

(2) Letter No 737—20 d d 96th July 1897 from the same Office in reply with reference
 to the above Proceedings dated 19th July 1897 in which the Inspector General has
 advised the Assistant Masters in village schools

No 2918 19—Ed F 44 96 DATED BANGALORE 28TH AUGUST 1897

ORDER THEREON — In paras 3 and 6 of the first of the above letters the Inspector General recommends that Probationary teachers on Rs 5 who have not passed any examination may be confirmed as Assistant Masters on Rs 7 after an approved service of three years and states that he has already issued Circulars to that effect on the authority of Government Order No 6386 7—Ed F 23 96 dated 3rd December 1896. It is observed that the order quoted simply sanctioned the change of designation from Pupil Teacher to Probationary Teacher and was silent as to promotion after three years service. However as the Inspector General has already issued Circulars on the subject Government are now pleased to approve of the recommendation to grant promotions after three years service but such increases should not when they involve additional expenditure be granted without obtaining the previous sanction of Government. The increase of pay from Rs 5 to Rs 7 to the 46 Probationary Teachers of village schools named in the list appended to these proceedings who have not passed any examination but have put in from 4 to 17 years service is sanctioned.

2 With regard to the increase of pay from Rs 5 to Rs 7 proposed to be given to the 27 Probationary Teachers who have passed the Lower Secondary Examination the Inspector General states that most of them will prefer to remain in their native place to going to a strange place on Rs 7. The Government are unable to accept this view. Public servants are expected to go wherever ordered and these teachers must be made to understand that they will, on promotion or otherwise be transferred to other schools according to the requirements of the service. In sanctioning therefore the increase of their pay from Rs 5 to Rs 7 as per list appended the Government desire that the Inspector General would give effect as far as possible to the suggestion made in para 4 of Government Proceedings No 162 3—Ed 8 dated 1 th July 1897 by making transfers occasionally.

3 The Inspector General remarks in para 5 of the same letter regarding the growth of schools and the necessity for encouragement in the shape of increase of pay being given by Government to deserving teachers from time to time are not quite pertinent to the consideration of the suggestion made by Government that teachers who have given satisfaction may be promoted as vacancies occur in schools of higher grade. Government are aware that when there is increased growth there must be increase of expenditure which cannot be avoided and they will always be glad to provide for such increases as far as funds will permit but at the same time there is no reason why chances should not be afforded to village school masters of promotion to vacancies occurring in other schools instead of keeping them permanently in village schools.

4 Government concur with the Inspector General's remarks in para 7 of the letter and are of opinion that as the mere passing of an examination is not a test of ability to teach a Probationary Teacher on Rs 5 should not be promoted to Rs 7 per mensem unless he has rendered one year's approved service either before or after passing the Lower Secondary Examination.

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore,

5. The increase of pay recommended to certain Head and Assistant masters of village schools in the second of the letters read above, which involves an additional expenditure of Rs 178 per mensem is also sanctioned with effect from 1st July 1897 as per statements appended

6. With regard to his proposals to entertain new menial establishment in certain village schools at a monthly cost of Rs 53 and to raise the pay of the menial establishment in certain others at a monthly cost of Rs 9, the Inspector General is informed that it is desirable to have a definite standard to regulate the employment and remuneration of such establishment with due regard to the population of the places concerned, the strength of the schools thereat and the distances between the schools and the houses of the pupils. The adoption of a uniform nomenclature is likewise desirable

7. As stated by the Inspector General in para 8 of his first letter, it seems necessary to revise the graduated scale of salaries of teachers which was fixed many years ago, and he is therefore requested to submit definite proposals for the consideration of Government

8. The total additional expenditure sanctioned in paras, 1, 2 and 5 of these Proceedings amount to Rs 324 per mensem or Rs 3,888 per annum as detailed in Statements No 1 2 and 3 appended to these Proceedings, for which Government are pleased to sanction a supplemental grant of Rs 3,888 under "Village School Fund budget" for 1897 98

C SREENIVASIENGAL,
Secy to Govt, Gen & Rev Depts

To—The Inspector General of Education in Mysore
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore

Education dated 28th August 1897

No 1—List of Probationary Teachers who have not passed the Lower Secondary Examination but have given satisfaction by their work

T i k	V i l l g	S h l	N f l h t y
A g l V r n l S l l s			
B g l r e	Y i h k a		A t c h p p a
T a l k B r n l S l l			
A k l	S j p	K G l	M
D o l l	V d g h l l	K B y	N j d j
A k l g d	K	d	V k t a N a s j
S h m g a	H l e n	d	G d h
C h l l k	N l h t t	d	T p p a y a
H l l S l o l s			
K l l l l l	C h l m l d	K B y	V b l d y
D o d b a l l i	H b	H d t	M l m i M t
K l a r	J d H l l b l l	K B y	K l d p p
B a p l l	Y l l l	d	S l
G l l	I d r e h l l	d	R m p p
D o	D a d l p l y a	l	K t h r a h
D	H	d	L t h m p l
D	G l l l	d	C s a t
C h t u m d	A l g i	d o	T m l r a p p
M l l g l	D r y m d r a	d	V k a t S t
T m l f	H i k	d	K l i p a
D o	U d g e	d	N j d j
M d d g	M d g e s	d	S b b
P r a d a	M a g l d i	d	L k l m g a R
K g l y	H l h a h	d	N p p a
r a	A g r a l m a	d	S b b S t r i
K u r l	H f y d g a	d	C h l y
Y a d a t o r	H t o p p	d	G d p p
M l l l i	H l l l	d	N i p a
C h m r a j a g	R u a m d r a	d	N j a d y
D	D o	d	D o p p a
D o	H a p	d	M l l p p
H g u d d	K t t	d	A t r a m y
D	C l k k y u p	d	K p a p o t a y
D	H b b a l g p p e	d	K h l y
S h m g	A r a b l h i	d	S d d p p a
C h g	S t b e h	d	M l a l g y a
H l	J	d	N l k a m l y
D	R n p	d	G N j y
h k p	I	d	T m m p p a
D	K p p a h l l	d o	d d i p a
S b	A d l	d	T a n J a

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore,

No.	Taluk	Village	School	Name of Probationary Teacher	Length of service in years
39	Nagar	Aramanekoppa	Kan. Boys	A passed candidate to be employed	
40	Chitaldurg	Topura Mallige	do	B. N. Nundarya	7
41	Dandargere	Kurki	do	Mahalinga	7
42	Do	Kodaganur	do	Govinda Rao	3
43	Hiriyur	Gannakanshalli	do	Lingannaia	6
44	Holalkere	Nolenur	do	Ramael andrachar	5
45	Jagalur	Kanakuppa	Hindustani	Shankar Imam	4
46	Molakalmuru	Ramjura	Kan. Boys	Venkappa	6

Total No. of Prob. Teachers to be promoted from Rs. 5 to 7=46
Cost of promoting them 46 2=Rs. 92 per mens m

No. 2—Statement of Probationary Teachers in Village Schools who have passed the Lower Secondary Examination

No.	Taluk	Name of School	Name of Probationary Teacher	Examination passed.
Anglo Vernacular Schools				
1	Heggaddevankote	Sargur	Tirunarana Iyengar	Lower Secondary
2	Do	do	Ananta Iyengar	do
3	Seringapatam	Melkote	Gadgadhara Atri	do
Taluk Branch Schools				
4	Tiptur	Kannada School Honnavalli	Nannappa	Lower Secondary
5	Seringapatam	do Ganam	Lakshminarasappa	do
6	Do	do Sukravarpete	Rama Sastri	do
7	Yedatore	do Mirle	M. Subramannaiya	do
8	T. Narasipur	do Sosale	Krishnappa	do
9	Tirthahalli	do Arga	Nannappa	do
Hobli Schools				
10	Dodballapur	Kannada Hobli School Hosahalli	Asvatthananaraiya	Lower Secondary
11	Hoskote	do K. Hukunte Agrahar	Shamanna	do
12	Nelamangala	do Tavarekere	Narasimha Sastri	do
13	Gubbi	do Chitlur	Venkateswaraiah	do
14	Chikankasahalli	do Banahalli	Subramanya Sastri	do
15	Tumkur	do Kyatasandra	Siddalingappa	do
16	Maddur	do Kodlapura	Srinappa	do
17	Do	do Kodigehalli	Raja Rao	do
18	Mysore	do Uttarahalli	Saravaya	do
19	Mandya	do Kadur	Puriga Krishna Rao	do
20	Yedatore	do Hanasoge	Seshanna	do
21	Hunsur	do Kattemalavadi	Ramaswamiya	do
22	Do	do P. Sriyapata Pet.	B. N. Narasimha	do
23	Nelamangala	do Devalapura	Tammaya	do
24	Do	do Kelagere	B. Narayana	do
25	Heggaddevankote	do Bankawadi	Nanjundaia	do
26	Davanagere	do Avergola	A. Madivalaya	do
27	Do	do J. gali	Siddalingaiya	do

Total No. of Prob. Teachers to be promoted from Rs. 5 to Rs. 7=27
Cost of promoting them 27x2=Rs. 54 per mens m

Education, dated 28th August 1897

No 3—Statement of Teachers and servants recommended for promotion

Teacher	Place	Service	Number of Years	Recommendation	Age	Length of Service	Rank
---------	-------	---------	-----------------	----------------	-----	-------------------	------

Anglo-Vernacular Schools

Teacher	Place	Service	Number of Years	Recommendation	Age	Length of Service	Rank
1. Mr. L. S. I.	Tyngal			Assistant Master	7	10	3
2. Mr. L. S. I.	W. L. S. I.			do	10	1	2
3. Mr. L. S. I.	W. L. S. I.			do	7	10	3
							8

T. L. S. I.

Teacher	Place	Service	Number of Years	Recommendation	Age	Length of Service	Rank
1. Mr. L. S. I.	C. J. S. I.	K. S. I.		Assistant Master	7	10	3
2. Mr. L. S. I.	K. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
3. Mr. L. S. I.	K. S. I.	do		Head Master	1	20	5
4. Mr. L. S. I.	B. J. S. I.	do		do	12	15	3
5. Mr. L. S. I.	N. S. I.	do		do	1	0	5
6. Mr. L. S. I.	M. S. I.	K. S. I.		Master	10	1	2
7. Mr. L. S. I.	B. S. I.	K. S. I.		Head Master	10	12	2
							21

H. L. S. I.

Teacher	Place	Service	Number of Years	Recommendation	Age	Length of Service	Rank
1. Mr. L. S. I.	K. S. I.	K. S. I.		Master	7	10	3
2. Mr. L. S. I.	M. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
3. Mr. L. S. I.	B. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
4. Mr. L. S. I.	K. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
5. Mr. L. S. I.	B. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
6. Mr. L. S. I.	A. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
7. Mr. L. S. I.	Ch. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
8. Mr. L. S. I.	H. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
9. Mr. L. S. I.	T. S. I.	do		do	10	12	2
10. Mr. L. S. I.	K. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
11. Mr. L. S. I.	S. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
12. Mr. L. S. I.	P. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
13. Mr. L. S. I.	R. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
14. Mr. L. S. I.	H. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
15. Mr. L. S. I.	M. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
16. Mr. L. S. I.	N. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
17. Mr. L. S. I.	W. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
18. Mr. L. S. I.	B. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
19. Mr. L. S. I.	K. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
20. Mr. L. S. I.	A. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
21. Mr. L. S. I.	Ch. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
22. Mr. L. S. I.	H. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
23. Mr. L. S. I.	T. S. I.	do		do	10	12	2
24. Mr. L. S. I.	K. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
25. Mr. L. S. I.	S. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
26. Mr. L. S. I.	P. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
27. Mr. L. S. I.	R. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
28. Mr. L. S. I.	H. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
29. Mr. L. S. I.	M. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
30. Mr. L. S. I.	N. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
31. Mr. L. S. I.	W. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
32. Mr. L. S. I.	B. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
33. Mr. L. S. I.	K. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
34. Mr. L. S. I.	A. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
35. Mr. L. S. I.	Ch. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
36. Mr. L. S. I.	H. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
37. Mr. L. S. I.	T. S. I.	do		do	10	12	2
38. Mr. L. S. I.	K. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
39. Mr. L. S. I.	S. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
40. Mr. L. S. I.	P. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
41. Mr. L. S. I.	R. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
42. Mr. L. S. I.	H. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
43. Mr. L. S. I.	M. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
44. Mr. L. S. I.	N. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
45. Mr. L. S. I.	W. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
46. Mr. L. S. I.	B. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
47. Mr. L. S. I.	K. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
48. Mr. L. S. I.	A. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
49. Mr. L. S. I.	Ch. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
50. Mr. L. S. I.	H. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
51. Mr. L. S. I.	T. S. I.	do		do	10	12	2
52. Mr. L. S. I.	K. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
53. Mr. L. S. I.	S. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
54. Mr. L. S. I.	P. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
55. Mr. L. S. I.	R. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
56. Mr. L. S. I.	H. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
57. Mr. L. S. I.	M. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
58. Mr. L. S. I.	N. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
59. Mr. L. S. I.	W. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
60. Mr. L. S. I.	B. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
61. Mr. L. S. I.	K. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
62. Mr. L. S. I.	A. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
63. Mr. L. S. I.	Ch. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
64. Mr. L. S. I.	H. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
65. Mr. L. S. I.	T. S. I.	do		do	10	12	2
66. Mr. L. S. I.	K. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
67. Mr. L. S. I.	S. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
68. Mr. L. S. I.	P. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
69. Mr. L. S. I.	R. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
70. Mr. L. S. I.	H. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
71. Mr. L. S. I.	M. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
72. Mr. L. S. I.	N. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
73. Mr. L. S. I.	W. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
74. Mr. L. S. I.	B. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
75. Mr. L. S. I.	K. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
76. Mr. L. S. I.	A. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
77. Mr. L. S. I.	Ch. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
78. Mr. L. S. I.	H. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
79. Mr. L. S. I.	T. S. I.	do		do	10	12	2
80. Mr. L. S. I.	K. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
81. Mr. L. S. I.	S. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
82. Mr. L. S. I.	P. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
83. Mr. L. S. I.	R. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
84. Mr. L. S. I.	H. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
85. Mr. L. S. I.	M. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
86. Mr. L. S. I.	N. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
87. Mr. L. S. I.	W. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
88. Mr. L. S. I.	B. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
89. Mr. L. S. I.	K. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
90. Mr. L. S. I.	A. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
91. Mr. L. S. I.	Ch. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
92. Mr. L. S. I.	H. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
93. Mr. L. S. I.	T. S. I.	do		do	10	12	2
94. Mr. L. S. I.	K. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
95. Mr. L. S. I.	S. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
96. Mr. L. S. I.	P. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
97. Mr. L. S. I.	R. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
98. Mr. L. S. I.	H. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
99. Mr. L. S. I.	M. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3
100. Mr. L. S. I.	N. S. I.	do		do	7	10	3

44
6

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore

No 3—concluded

Taluk	Village	School	Name of employe	Designation	Present pay	Proposed pay	Increase
Malavalli	Ligpatna	Kanarose Boys	De a aje Urs	Master	7	10	3
Nanjangud	Komnur	do	Suryanarayanappa	do	7	10	3
Do	Kalale	do	Bhashyacl ar	Head Master	12	15	3
Do	Do	do	N ra mha har	Ass st nt Master	7	10	3
Do	Modavinhalli	do	Li gappa	Ma ter	7	10	3
Chamrajnagar	Ramasamud	do	Nara mh ya	Ass t nt Master	7	10	3
Heggadevankote	Manuga aballi	do	Chandra ekl rathihya	Maste	7	10	3
Do	Jakhalli	do	Shelpilla en ar	d	7	10	3
Do	Doddagenagere	do	Ramaswamaengar	do	10	12	2
Arsikere	Garudanag ri	do	Byrappa	do	7	10	3
Channarayana	Nuggehalli	do	Narasimh iya	Head Master	7	10	3
Holé Narasipur	Gubbi	do	Venkatramannaia	Master	7	10	3
Arkalgud	Kerlapura	do	Timmappa ya	Head Master	10	12	2
Do	Hulikal	do	Venkatachala ya	do	7	0	3
Do	Hebbale	do	Lakshmi pataya	do	7	10	3
Belur	Tagare	do	Trimalachar	do	7	10	3
Chikmagalur	Kalasapura	do	Krishnappa	Maste	10	12	2
Koppa	Kalasa	do	Manjanathaya	do	7	10	3
Shikarpur	Maravalli	do	Muregappa	do	7	10	3
Shimoga	Sidhipura	do	Ramanna	do	7	10	3
Chitaldrug	Turuvanur	do	Bhashkaraya	Head Master	10	12	2
Do	Pandrahalli	do	Gururajappa	Master	7	10	3
Do	Godabenhah	do	Virappa	do	7	10	3
Jagalur	Asagodu	do	K Sanganabasava ya	do	7	10	3
				Grand Total			147
							178 per men sem

45

11

Ed | TION Edw 1897
 EN 1096-7 OFFICE OF THE
 4-9-97 From 4-9-97
 'with a draft remittance to the Press' b
 DEWANE DE 190
 The Inspector General of
 Education in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Govt
 of Mysore in the New Spent
 by the Bangalore

No 1915

Dated 1-9-97

Received

Enclosure No

Subject

Requesting that list 1, 2,
 3 and 4 be appended to
 Govt order No 2918-19 d.
 28th August-1897 may be
 forwarded at an early
 date as they have
 been received with the
 order quoted above.

1/10/97

Edn 56]

OFFICE OF
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE

No $\frac{1915}{5-2}$

Mysore
Bangalore 1st September 1897

From

H J BHABHA, Esq

Inspector General of Education in Mysore,

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
in the General and Revenue Deptt.
Bangalore

SIR

With reference to Order No 2918-1,
8744-9
dated the 28 August 1897, I have the
honour to inform you that lists 1,
and 3 said to be appended to the
above Order have not been received
and to request that they may be
forwarded at your earliest convenience.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H J Bhabha

Inspector General